

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY
AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

VOLUME I

LIABILITY 'EX CRIMINE'
OF LEGAL ENTITIES
IN MEMBER STATES

EDITED BY
ANTONIO FIORELLA

COLLABORATORS
Nicola Selvaggi - Anna Salvina Valenzano - Enrica Villani

Scientific Direction

ROMA TRE UNIVERSITY: Antonio Fiorella (Project responsible) -
Arturo Maresca - SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME: Alfonso Maria Stile
(Project responsible) - UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 1 PANTHÉON-SORBONNE
OF PARIS: Stefano Manacorda (Project responsible) - Geneviève
Giudicelli-Delage - UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA: Luis
Arroyo Zapatero (Project responsible) - Adán Nieto Martín



JOVENE EDITORE 2012

CONTENTS

<i>Participants and Authors</i>	p. XXIII
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	» XXV
<i>Introduction</i>	» 1

CHAPTER I

CORPORATE LIABILITY ‘EX CRIMINE’ AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS IN ITALY

CHAPTER I.1

LIABILITY ‘EX CRIMINE’ OF COLLECTIVE ENTITIES IN THE ITALIAN LEGAL SYSTEM: AN OVERVIEW

Enrica Villani

1. The impact of corporate liability ‘ex crimine’ in the Italian legal system	» 14
2. The imputation criteria. The interest of the entity and the position of the offender	» 15
3. The organizational failure as imputation criterion. The exclusion of corporate liability in case of “fraudulent evasion” of rules of conduct by the top-manager offender	» 17
4. The role of compliance programs within Decree no. 231/2001. Contents and techniques of a proper organization. The supervisory body	» 19
5. Sanctions. Further general features of the system	» 21

CHAPTER I.2
THE CONTENTS OF A PROPER ORGANIZATION
AND THE COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

SECTION I

*Risk assessment and liability of legal entities
for offences committed in their interest*

Nicola Selvaggi

- | | |
|---|-------|
| 1. The analysis of risk of offences being committed as a basis for a suitable and effective preventive system | p. 23 |
| 2. The risk of offences being committed in respect to the rationale of the liability of legal entities | » 25 |
| 3. The logic of the liability system: a further clarification | » 27 |
| 4. Phases of risk analysis (mapping): detection, identification of the so called sensitive areas, ranking | » 29 |
| 5. Analysis of the actual corporate activity | » 30 |
| 6. Techniques and methods of risk assessment: an overview | » 31 |

SECTION II

Delegation System and Decision-making Protocols

Enrica Villani

- | | |
|---|------|
| 1. The rationale for decision-making protocols within the establishment of a virtuous organisation by the entity | » 33 |
| 2. Creating a transparent delegation system | » 35 |
| 3. General principles in setting out decision-making protocols and “minimum” protocols. Procedures for financial resources management | » 37 |
| 3.1. Code of ethics | » 40 |
| 4. Case-law approaches and risk of excessive ‘red tape’ | » 41 |

SECTION III

Information systems

Anna Salvina Valenzano

- | | |
|---|------|
| 1. Role and structure of information systems as ‘systems of information duties’ | » 44 |
| 2. The ‘internal’ and ‘external’ information systems | » 45 |
| 2.A. The ‘internal’ information system | » 46 |
| 2.B. The ‘external’ information system | » 48 |

3. The ‘information duties’ towards the supervisory body	p.	49
4. The power to access information	»	52
5. Information flows from the supervisory body to top managers ...	»	53
6. Disciplinary effects linked to the violation of ‘information duties’	»	55
7. Conclusions	»	56

CHAPTER I.3

THE SUPERVISORY BODY (“ORGANISMO DI VIGILANZA”)
UNDER LEGISLATIVE DECREE NO. 231/2001

SECTION I

Substantive requirements

Vincenzo Mongillo

1. The legal entity’s Supervisory Body under Legislative Decree no. 231/2001	»	57
2. The Supervisory Body: basic requirements	»	61
3. Structure and composition of Supervisory Body	»	65
4. Criticalities of small sized entities	»	76
5. Corporate groups	»	76
6. Trends in the evolution of the Supervisory Body in the first ten years after the entry into force of the Legislative Decree no. 231/2001	»	78
7. The legislative novelty of 2011: new paragraph 4- <i>bis</i> of Art. 6 of Legislative Decree no. 231/2001.....	»	80

SECTION II

Powers and liability

Maria Teresa Trapasso

1. Role of the Supervisory Body	»	83
2. Activity of the Supervisory Body	»	84
3. Distinguishing features between the Supervisory Body’s over- sight activity and the corporate entity’s management functions ..	»	89
4. Liability of the Supervisory Body	»	92
5. Possibility of attributing liability for failure to prevent the com- mission of offences to the Supervisory Body as a consequence of amendments introduced by Decree no. 231/2007. Introduction of the role of the Supervisory Body under Legislative Decree no. 81/2008 in the matter of Health and Safety Offences	»	96

SECTION III

The updating of the model

Francesco Compagna

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Legal provisions on updating and amending organisational and management models | p. 101 |
| 2. Verification and updating as intrinsic requirements of every prevention system | » 102 |
| 3. Progressive enhancement of organisational and control mechanisms as a parameter for evaluating the organisation's prevention efforts | » 104 |
| 4. Effectiveness of organisational rules as first criterion for updating and/or amendment..... | » 105 |
| 5. The adequacy of protection measures in relation to the risk of offences and the identification of difficulties | » 106 |
| 6. Updating models - other factors | » 107 |
| 7. Procedures for updating models and the centrality of the Monitoring Body | » 108 |

CHAPTER I.4

COMPLIANCE PROGRAMMES AND LABOUR LAW

Micaela Vitaletti

- | | |
|--|-------|
| 1. Labour law and implementation of organisational models | » 111 |
| 2. The disciplinary power: a dialogue between labour and 'para-criminal law' | » 113 |
| 3. The exercise of the power of control | » 124 |

CHAPTER II

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY IN FRANCE

Juliette Tricot

- | | |
|---|-------|
| I. Un dispositif en quête d'auteur(s) | » 132 |
| A. L'auteur du dispositif français | » 133 |
| B. L'auteur visé par le dispositif français | » 136 |
| 1. Personnalité | » 137 |
| 2. Egalité | » 142 |
| 3. Généralité | » 144 |
| II. Un dispositif en quête de modèle | » 146 |
| A. Un dispositif tout en un? | » 147 |
| 1. L'illusion du modèle "vicarial" | » 148 |

2. Le rattachement au modèle “identificatoire”	p. 151
3. La possibilité du modèle “organisationnel”	» 153
B. Un dispositif hospitalier: l’accueil du modèle organisationnel?	» 157
1. Les conditions de l’accueil du modèle organisationnel	» 158
2. Les enjeux de l’accueil du modèle organisationnel	» 172

CHAPTER III

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN SPAIN

Adán Nieto Martín

I. The evolution of the responsibility of legal persons within the Spanish legal framework	» 181
II. Arguments for and against the criminal liability of legal entities	» 186
III. When are legal entities responsible? Article 31- <i>bis</i> 1 of the Criminal Code	» 189
1. Vicarious liability model and the model of self-liability	» 190
2. The liability of legal entities for the acts committed by subordinates	» 193
3. The liability of legal entities for acts committed by administrators	» 196
4. The relationship between the offence committed by the natural and legal entities	» 199
IV. Responsible legal persons and the crimes they can commit	» 201
V. Company culpability and the purpose of punishment	» 204
VI. The determination of penalties	» 206
1. Legal non-dangerous economy companies: the fine penalty (art. 52)	» 207
2. Dangerous legal economy enterprises and illegal economy companies (organized crime)	» 208
3. Aggravating and mitigating factors: the relationship with the different temporal structure of corporate guilt	» 210
VII. The accessory consequences (art. 129 c.p.)	» 211

CHAPTER IV

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN PORTUGAL

Manuel Espinoza de Los Monteros de La Parra

A. Historical background	» 213
B. Liable legal entities	» 216

C.	Criteria of Imputation	p. 222
I.	Criminal liability of legal persons and entities for the acts committed by their managers	» 225
II.	The liability of legal persons for crimes committed by sub- ordinates	» 226
III.	Types of crimes attributable to legal persons	» 227
D.	Individual Responsibility	» 229
E.	System of sanctions	» 231
I.	Applicable penalties for legal persons and entities	» 231
II.	Mitigating and aggravating circumstances	» 234

CHAPTER V

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY IN IRELAND

Maria Teresa Trapasso

1.	Introduction	» 237
2.	Test of corporate criminal liability	» 238
3.	Corporate killing: a proposal of a new statutory offence	» 240

CHAPTER VI

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY
IN THE UNITED KINGDOM

SECTION I

Corporate Criminal Liability in the United Kingdom: general outlines

Maria Teresa Trapasso

1.	Criteria to charge corporations with criminal offences in the English legal system:	» 244
2.	<i>Vicarious liability</i> : an introduction	» 245
2.1.	General assumptions: how to apply vicarious liability to corporations	» 248
2.2.	Justifications and limits of vicarious liability	» 249
3.	Criminal liability of corporations for breach of their own duty imposed by the law	» 250
4.	The “ <i>identification principle</i> ”	» 252
4.1.	The function of “ <i>controlling officer</i> ”	» 255
4.2.	The requirement identified by the expression “ <i>within the scope of his office</i> ”	» 256
4.3.	Limits of the “ <i>identification principle</i> ”	» 257

5. The relevance of the “ <i>aggregation</i> ” notion to charge corporations with criminal offences	p. 259
6. The role of “ <i>hybrid offences</i> ” in outlining an “ <i>autonomous</i> ” corporate liability	» 260
7. The liability of managing directors and similar persons	» 262
8. Associations with no legal personality	» 265

SECTION II

Corporate Criminal Liability in Scotland

Maria Teresa Trapasso

1. <i>Corporate Criminal Liability</i> : preliminary remarks	» 266
2. Imputation Criteria of offences to <i>corporation</i>	» 268
3. Sanctions	» 272

SECTION III

New statutory tests of corporate criminal liability in the UK: the Corporate Manslaughter Act 2007 and the Bribery Act 2010

Vincenzo Mongillo

SECTION III.1

The Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007

1. Manslaughter in English common law	» 273
2. Corporate manslaughter in common law. Limits of the identification doctrine highlighted by case law	» 275
3. The long gestation of the Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007	» 278
4. The new offence of corporate manslaughter	» 282
5. The organisations to which the Act applies	» 283
6. The basic elements of the corporate manslaughter offence	» 284
6.1. Relevant duty of care owed to the deceased	» 285
6.2. Management failure and the role of senior management	» 286
6.3. Gross breach	» 291
6.4. Causation	» 292
7. Penalties	» 293
8. The relationship between individual and corporate criminal liability	» 298
9. Territorial scope of the CMCHA	» 300
10. Enforcement	» 300

11. Conclusions on the model of corporate liability adopted by the CMCHA	p. 301
12. First applications in case law	» 302

SECTION III.2

*The Bribery Act 2010. Corporate Criminal Liability
for Bribery Offences*

1. The Bribery Act 2010	» 304
2. The four offences established by the Bribery Act	» 305
3. Corporate criminal liability for bribery offences. The traditional common law model based on the “identification doctrine”	» 306
4. Failure of commercial organisations to prevent bribery (s. 7).....	» 307
4.1. The active subject	» 308
4.2. The conduct element	» 310
4.2.1. The notion of “associated person”	» 310
4.3. The adequate procedures defence	» 313
4.3.1. Ministerial Guidance about adequate preventive procedures	» 315
5. Penalties and other measures	» 319
6. Relationship between individual liability and corporate liability for the crimes established by the Bribery Act	» 321
7. The territorial scope of the Bribery Act	» 323
8. Enforcement	» 326

CHAPTER VII

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY IN BELGIUM,
NETHERLANDS AND LUXEMBOURG

SECTION I

*La responsabilité pénale des personnes morales
en Belgique*

Juliette Tricot

1. L'introduction de la responsabilité des personnes morale en droit pénal belge	» 329
A. Préalables jurisprudentiels: un terrain largement défriché par la Cour de cassation	» 330
B. La réforme du Code pénal	» 334
C. Fondements et objectifs	» 336

D. Enjeux constitutionnels	p. 338
1) Le principe de légalité et la rédaction de l'article 5 du Code pénal	» 340
2) Le principe d'égalité et les choix de politique criminelle portés par l'article 5 du Code pénal	» 342
2. Le modèle belge: l'assimilation	» 346
A. Le domaine de la responsabilité pénale des personnes morales	» 346
1) Les personnes concernées	» 346
2) Les infractions concernées	» 347
B. Les conditions d'engagement de la responsabilité pénale des personnes morales	» 347
1) Le lien intrinsèque (l'imputabilité matérielle)	» 348
2) Le défaut d'organisation et l'imputation morale	» 350
C. L'articulation des responsabilités des personnes physique et morale	» 357
1) Le critère du décumul: la gravité	» 358
2) Le critère du cumul: la volonté	» 360
D. Les moyens de défense et d'évitement de la responsabilité pénale	» 361
1) L'absence de faute propre de la personne morale	» 361
2) La preuve d'une faute comparativement «moins grave» que celle de la personne physique	» 361
3) L'applicabilité de la délégation de pouvoir au bénéficiaire de la personne morale	» 362
E. Remarques sur les peines et la procédure	» 363
1) Les peines	» 344
2) La procédure	» 344

SECTION II

*La responsabilité pénale des personnes morales
aux Pays-Bas*

Juliette Tricot

1. L'introduction de la responsabilité des personnes morales en droit pénal néerlandais	» 365
A. Préalables jurisprudentiels et législatifs	» 365
1) Evolution jurisprudentielle: la naissance de l'auteur fonctionnel	» 365
2) Préalables législatifs	» 368

B.	La réforme de 1976	p. 371
C.	Fondements et objectifs	» 372
2.	Le modèle néerlandais: l'approche «fonctionnelle»	» 374
A.	Le domaine	» 374
	1) Domaine matériel	» 374
	2) Domaine personnel	» 375
B.	Les conditions	» 377
	1) 1976-2003: La transposition de la responsabilité fonctionnelle	» 378
	2) La mise à point de la Cour suprême en 2003	» 381
	3) Observations sur les moyens de défense de la personne morale	» 383
C.	L'articulation des responsabilités	» 384
D.	Remarques sur les peines	» 386
E.	Remarques sur la procédure	» 388

SECTION III

*La responsabilité pénale des personnes morales
au Luxembourg*

Juliette Tricot

	Introduction. L'introduction de la responsabilité des personnes morales en droit pénal luxembourgeois, reflet de l'internationalisation juridique	» 390
I.	Le périmètre du dispositif nouveau: la confirmation des tendances internationales et comparées	» 396
A.	Le champ d'application personnel: les entités concernées.....	» 397
	1) Les personnes morales de droit privé	» 397
	2) Les personnes morales de droit public	» 400
B.	Le champ d'application matériel: les infractions visées	» 402
II.	Le fonctionnement du dispositif: le choix du modèle «identificateur»?	» 406
A.	Les conditions de la mise en œuvre de la responsabilité pénale des personnes morales	» 406
	1) La condition de «représentation»	» 407
	2) La condition d'intérêt (le lien entre l'infraction et la personne morale)	» 409
B.	L'articulation des responsabilités des personnes morales et des personnes physiques	» 411
C.	La sanction des personnes morales responsables	» 412

1) L'amende	p. 413
2) La dissolution	» 414
III. L'armature procédurale du dispositif nouveau	» 415
A. L'exercice et l'extinction de l'action publique	» 415
B. La représentation de la personne morale	» 417

CHAPTER VIII

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN GERMANY

Manuel Espinoza de los Monteros de la Parra

A. Origin of the liability of legal entities.....	» 419
B. Liability of legal entities according to § 30 OWiG	» 421
I. Vicarious imputation model.....	» 422
II. Liable legal entities and unlawful conducts	» 424
C. Liability under § 130 OWiG	» 425
I. Individual responsibility of directors and representatives.....	» 425
D. Sanctioning system.....	» 427
E. Compliance programs in Germany	» 430
I. De facto compliance system.....	» 432
II. Self-regulation	» 435
III. The influence of foreign compliance programs in German companies.....	» 437
IV. Social responsibility and compliance programs	» 438

CHAPTER IX

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY
IN THE AUSTRIAN LEGAL SYSTEM

Francesco Compagna

1. Contents and limitations of the <i>Verbandsverantwortlichkeits-</i> <i>gesetz</i>	» 441
2. Corporate criminal liability and predicate crimes: selection of an essentially universal model.....	» 443
3. Corporate criminal liability: formal conditions and exceptions ...	» 445
4. The structural relationship between the organisation and the perpetrator <i>Entscheidungsträger</i> and <i>Mitarbeiter</i>	» 447
5. General criteria for charging commission of a fact to advantage of the organisation or in violation of organisation's obligations...	» 449

6. Establishing corporate criminal liability for offences committed by top-level management	p. 450
7. Establishing corporate liability for offences committed by subordinates.....	» 451
8. Penalties: the centrality of pecuniary penalties and alternatives to the <i>Geldbuße</i>	» 452
9. Changes in the organisations' circumstances	» 455
10. Corporate liability, organizational fault and compliance programs	» 455

CHAPTER X

MAIN ASPECTS OF CORPORATE LIABILITY 'EX CRIMINE'
IN NORTHERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES:
DENMARK, SWEDEN AND FINLAND

Anna Salvina Valenzano

1. <i>Denmark</i>	» 463
1.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability.....	» 463
1.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences.....	» 465
1.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the relevance of the alternative criterion of « <i>fault of the legal person</i> ».....	» 466
1.4. System of sanctions: an overview.....	» 449
2. <i>Sweden</i>	» 469
2.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences.....	» 469
2.2. The conditions for enforcing « <i>corporate fines</i> » against collective entities, with a focus on the relevance attached to 'organizational failure'	» 471
2.3. Sanctions and an overview of the effective application of the law.....	» 473
3. <i>Finland</i>	» 474
3.1. The nature of collective entities' liability, the subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 474
3.2. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the relevance of 'organizational failure'	» 475

3.3. The relations between the liability of natural persons and collective entities'	p. 478
3.4. Sanctions	» 481
3.5. Overview of the application of the law	» 481

CHAPTER XI

MAIN ASPECTS OF LIABILITY 'EX CRIMINE'
OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN BALTIC REPUBLICS:
ESTONIA, LATVIA AND LITHUANIA

Anna Salvina Valenzano

1. <i>Estonia</i>	» 484
1.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences.....	» 484
1.2. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the lack of an explicit law provision on offences committed by subordinate persons.....	» 485
1.3. Sanctions: an overview.....	» 487
1.4. Perspectives on reform	» 487
2. <i>Latvia</i>	» 488
2.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences.....	» 488
2.2. The conditions for enforcing « <i>coercive measures</i> » against collective entities	» 489
2.3. Sanctions, also with a focus on the effects of organizational dynamics in determining them.....	» 489
3. <i>Lithuania</i>	» 491
3.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences.....	» 491
3.2. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the impact of the violation of a supervisory duty by the management vis-à-vis the subordinate person for the purposes of attributing the responsibility to the organization	» 493
3.3. Sanctions	» 496
3.4. The effective state of application of the law: an overview	» 496

CHAPTER XII

MAIN ASPECTS OF CORPORATE LIABILITY 'EX CRIMINE'
 IN EASTERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES:
 POLAND, CZECH REPUBLIC, SLOVAKIA, HUNGARY,
 ROMANIA, BULGARIA, SLOVENIA AND CROATIA

Anna Salvina Valenzano

1. <i>Poland</i>	p. 498
1.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 498
1.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 500
1.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective enti- ties. In particular, the troubled evolution of 'organiza- tional failure' in Polish discipline	» 501
1.4. Sanctions	» 508
1.5. An overview of the effective application of the law	» 509
2. <i>Czech Republic</i>	» 510
2.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 510
2.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 512
2.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective enti- ties, with particular attention to the relevance of 'organi- zational failure'	» 514
2.4. System of sanctions: an overview	» 517
2.5. Outline of the current application of the Act	» 519
3. <i>Slovakia</i>	» 520
3.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 520
3.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 522
3.3. Conditions for applying « <i>protective measures</i> » against col- lective entities; in particular the importance attached to 'due diligence' in the organization	» 522
3.4. Sanctions: an overview	» 524
4. <i>Hungary</i>	» 525
4.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 525
4.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 527

4.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the relevance of the violation of supervisory or control obligations	p. 528
4.4. Sanctions: an overview	» 531
4.5. Outline of the effective application of the law	» 532
5. <i>Romania</i>	» 532
5.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 532
5.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 534
5.3. Imputation criteria for attributing criminal liability to collective entities, with particular attention to the relevance of a 'virtuous organization'	» 536
5.4. Systems of sanctions: an overview	» 539
5.5. Outline of the current application of the law	» 540
6. <i>Bulgaria</i>	» 541
6.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 541
6.2. Principles for attributing liability to collective entities	» 543
6.3. Sanctions: an overview	» 543
7. <i>Slovenia</i>	» 544
7.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 544
7.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 547
7.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to legal persons, with particular attention to the relevance of organizational dynamics in collective entities	» 548
7.4. System of sanctions: an overview	» 552
7.5. The effective state of application of the law	» 555
8. <i>Croatia</i>	» 555
8.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 555
8.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 557
8.3. Imputation criteria for attributing liability to collective entities	» 558
8.4. Sanctions: an overview	» 599
8.5. Outline of the current application of the law	» 561

CHAPTER XIII

MAIN ASPECTS OF LIABILITY 'EX CRIMINE'
OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN SOUTHERN EUROPEAN COUNTRIES:
GREECE, MALTA AND CYPRUS

Anna Salvina Valenzano

1. <i>Greece</i>	p. 563
1.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 563
1.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predicate offences	» 567
1.3. Conditions for the application of sanctions to collective entities	» 568
1.4. Sanctions: an overview	» 569
2. <i>Malta</i>	» 570
2.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 570
2.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predi- cate offences	» 571
2.3. Principles for attributing liability to collective entities	» 572
2.4. Outline of relevant sanctions and the current application of the law	» 573
3. <i>Cyprus</i>	» 574
3.1. The nature of collective entities' liability and its relations with natural persons' liability	» 574
3.2. The subjective scope of application of the law and predi- cate offences	» 576
3.3. Principles for attributing liability to collective entities	» 577
3.4. Sanctions: an overview	» 579

CHAPTER XIV

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY
AND ORGANIZATIONAL FAILURE
UNDER THE SWISS CRIMINAL CODE

Enrica Villani

1. Foreword. Outline of the subjective and objective scope of application of the discipline. The imputation system	» 581
---	-------

2. The commission of a felony (“Verbrechen”) or misdemeanour (“Vergehen”) «within an enterprise, in the exercise of commercial activities in accordance with the corporate purpose». Corporate offence as expression of the business “typical risk”	p. 584
3. “Organizational failure” as imputation criterion. A) In case of subsidiary responsibility (“subsidiäre Verantwortlichkeit”). Corporate criminal responsibility for the “opacity” of business organisation	» 586
3.1. An overview on lause law	» 588
4. B) In case of primary liability (“ <i>originäre Verantwortlichkeit</i> ”). The “blameworthiness” of the entity for failing to prevent the commission of the offence	» 589
5. Duties of organisation: sources of law and selfregulation	» 593
6. Relevance and contents of compliance programs, particularly in the prevention of money-laundering and bribery	» 594
7. Sanctions and outline of procedural law	» 598

CHAPTER XV

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF CORPORATIONS
AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS
IN THE U.S. SYSTEM

Nicola Selvaggi

1. Preamble	» 601
2. “Let the master answer”: structure and limitations of the (still) prevailing model of criminal liability	» 606
2.1. The <i>Model Penal Code</i>	» 612
2.2. Towards new models: <i>Collective Knowledge</i> and <i>Collective Intent</i> (Bank of England and Arthur Andersen’s cases).....	» 615
3. The adoption and effective implementation of compliance programs in the instructions to juries	» 616
4. <i>Compliance programs</i> (“ <i>at the time of the offense</i> ” and “ <i>after the offense</i> ”) in the evaluation by Prosecuting offices	» 618
5. <i>Compliance programs</i> in the sentencing system: legislation and case law in the federal criminal law	» 621
6. Effectiveness vs. “window-dressing”: “Six steps” for an adequate <i>compliance program</i>	» 624

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Antonio Fiorella

Concluding remarks, referring to Volume II for a further comparative analysis aiming at approximating and harmonizing EU members' legal systems on collective entities' liability '*ex crimine*' » 629