

CORPORATE CRIMINAL LIABILITY  
AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

VOLUME II

TOWARDS A COMMON MODEL  
IN THE EUROPEAN UNION

EDITED BY  
ANTONIO FIORELLA

COLLABORATORS

Nicola Selvaggi - Anna Salvina Valenzano - Enrica Villani  
Francesco Compagna - Vincenzo Mongillo - Maria Teresa Trapasso  
Juliette Tricot - Manuel Espinoza de los Monteros de la Parra

Scientific Direction

ROMA TRE UNIVERSITY: Antonio Fiorella (Project responsible) -  
Arturo Maresca - SAPIENZA UNIVERSITY OF ROME: Alfonso Maria Stile  
(Project responsible) - UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 1 PANTHÉON-SORBONNE  
OF PARIS: Stefano Manacorda (Project responsible) - Geneviève  
Giudicelli-Delage - UNIVERSIDAD DE CASTILLA-LA MANCHA: Luis  
Arroyo Zapatero (Project responsible) - Adán Nieto Martín



JOVENE EDITORE 2012

## CONTENTS

<i>Participants and Authors</i> .....	p.	XV
<i>List of abbreviations</i> .....	»	XVII
<i>Introduction</i> .....	»	1

### PREMISE

#### EX CRIMINE LIABILITY OF LEGAL PERSONS IN EU. AN OVERVIEW OF SUBSTANTIVE CRIMINAL LAW

Nicola Selvaggi

1. Foreword .....	»	5
2. The “nature” of <i>ex crimine</i> liability in EU law instruments: past, present and future .....	»	8
3. The concept of ‘legal person’. Crimes and “areas of crime”. Sanctions .....	»	11
4. Models of liability .....	»	13
5. Possible add value of a ‘organisational fault’ grounded system of liability for EU criminal policy .....	»	15

### PART I

#### SUBJECTIVE AND OBJECTIVE SCOPE OF APPLICATION. NATURE OF ENTITY LIABILITY. THE ALLOCATION OF RESPONSIBILITY FOR CRIMINAL OFFENCES BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS AND LEGAL ENTITIES

### CHAPTER I

#### LE CHAMP PERSONNEL ET MATÉRIEL

Geneviève Giudicelli-Delage

I. Typologie des entités .....	»	20
--------------------------------	---	----

A.	Le critère commun de la personnalité morale .....	p.	20
1.	Les variantes réductives .....	»	21
2.	Les utilisations variées du critère .....	»	26
B.	Insuffisances du critère de la personnalité morale .....	»	30
1.	Les transformations de la personne morale .....	»	31
2.	L'enjeu du groupe de sociétés .....	»	36
II.	Typologie des infractions .....	»	46
A.	Généralité ou spécialité? .....	»	46
B.	Le noyau dur des infractions concernées au regard du champ de compétence de l'Union européenne .....	»	50

## CHAPTER II

THE NATURE OF CORPORATE LIABILITY  
FOR CRIMINAL OFFENCES: THEORETICAL MODELS  
AND EU MEMBER STATE LAWS

Vincenzo Mongillo

## SECTION I

*Theoretical models*

1.	Rise, consolidation and crisis of the principle <i>societas delinquere non potest</i> .....	»	55
2.	How to “sanction” corporations? The nature of the liability and sanctions applicable to collective entities .....	»	59
2.1.	Legitimacy of criminal sanctions against corporations .....	»	61
2.2.	Worthiness of punishment .....	»	69
2.3.	Need of punishment – principle of subsidiarity .....	»	71
2.4.	Guaranties .....	»	74

## SECTION II

*National experiences within the European Union*

3.	The nature of the liability and sanctions against collective entities in EU countries .....	»	75
3.1.	National legal systems envisaging forms of “true” corporate criminal liability .....	»	76
3.2.	Cases of corporate “para-criminal” liability or liability “for criminal offences” .....	»	85
3.3.	Cases of “administrative” liability of legal entities .....	»	94
3.4.	The paradigm of corporate preventive measures (“ancillary consequences”) .....	»	97

## SECTION III

*Harmonization within the European Union*

- 4. Conclusions in the light of the results of the comparative analysis. Inappropriateness of imposing on Member States forms of strictly criminal liability of collective entities ..... p. 98
- 5. Guaranties that should be acknowledged to corporate entities. » 104
  - 5.1. Substantive guaranties ..... » 107
  - 5.2. Procedural guaranties ..... » 117

## CHAPTER III

THE ALLOCATION OF RESPONSIBILITY  
FOR CRIMINAL OFFENCES BETWEEN INDIVIDUALS  
AND LEGAL ENTITIES IN EUROPE

Vincenzo Mongillo

- 1. Introduction ..... » 121

## SECTION I

*Cumulation/decumulation of individual and corporate liability*

- 2. Theoretical framework and criminological rationale of the criteria for the cumulation of individual and corporate liability ..... » 124
- 3. The principle of cumulation of individual and collective liability in EU law and the legislation of EU Member States ..... » 129
- 4. In search of a reasonable allocation of liability between individuals and collective entities. Risks of excessive punishment of natural persons for involuntary offences ..... » 132
- 5. Non-cumulative models ..... » 136
  - 5.1. Exclusive liability of legal entities. The solution adopted by the French legislator in cases of “*faute ordinarie*” ..... » 136
  - 5.2. Subsidiary liability of legal entities. The Swiss model ..... » 138
  - 5.3. Alternative liability of entities and natural persons. The non-cumulative rule (*décumul*) in the Belgian system ..... » 139
  - 5.4. Systems where liabilities may be decumulated or punishment may be compensated for in the sentencing stage ..... » 142
- 6. The impact of the rules governing prosecution on the principle of cumulative liability ..... » 144

## SECTION II

*Connections between individual liability and corporate liability*

- |   |        |
|---|--------|
| 7. Connecting links between corporate liability and individual liability: the different models in use ..... | p. 151 |
| 8. Dependent liability .....  | » 152  |
| 9. Autonomous liability .....   | » 155  |
| 10. Independent liability .....   | » 161  |

## SECTION III

*Harmonisation within the European Union*

- |  |       |
|--|-------|
| 11. Conclusions in favour of harmonisation within the EU ..... | » 167 |
|--|-------|

## PART II

IMPUTATION CRITERIA  
AND COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

## CHAPTER I

THE COMMISSION OF THE OFFENCE  
“FOR THE BENEFIT” OF THE ENTITY

Francesco Compagna

- |   |       |
|---|-------|
| 1. The existence of a corporate entity's own interests as basis for its social and legal acknowledgment .....                                       | » 173 |
| 2. The growing influence of the interests of collective entities in carrying out misconducts .....  | » 177 |
| 3. The commission of the fact to the benefit of the entity as a general criterion for ascribing liability in European law .....                     | » 180 |
| 4. The improper ancestor concepts of the principle of interest: liability based on representation, identification and exercise of a function .....  | » 184 |
| 5. Interest of corporate entities and analysis of the purposes of an offence .....  | » 189 |
| A) Importance of the purpose connecting an unlawful action and the potential enrichment of corporate entities in the various national systems ..... | » 189 |
| B) Psychological introspection and objective substance of a fact .....  | » 191 |
| C) The commission of an offence “in the interest of a corporate entity” and the simple advantageous consequences .....                              | » 195 |

D) The identification of the purpose of offences as an indirect criterion for ascribing intentional offences .....	p. 197
6. Infringement of the entity's preventive duties and possible co-existence of different ascriptive criteria .....	» 199
7. Reference interests and collective undertaking: the entity's global department .....	» 207
8. Conclusions .....	» 211

## CHAPTER II

‘TRIGGERING PERSONS’: THE ROLE OF THE OFFENDER  
IN ‘EX CRIMINE’ LIABILITY OF LEGAL ENTITIES

*A comparative study on Member States' legal systems*

Anna Salvina Valenzano

Introduction .....	» 213
1. The legal systems of Member States attaching importance to the sole ‘leading position’ of the perpetrator of a predicate offence ...	» 214
2. Searching for common traits within Member States’ legislation giving relevance to unlawful types of conduct both of subjects who have a ‘leading position’ and ‘subordinates’ .....	» 222
3. The legal systems of Member States giving importance to unlawful types of conduct carried out by a ‘third person’ outside the organization .....	» 232
3.1. The ‘third person’ as a ‘representative’ of the legal entity ....	» 234
3.2. The ‘third person’ as ‘whoever’ acts on behalf of, or for the benefit of, the body corporate .....	» 237
4. Conclusions in the light of the results of our comparative analysis: the ‘relevance’ of the offender’s position. Perspectives on approximation and harmonization of Member States’ legal systems .....	» 242

## CHAPTER III

COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS  
AND ‘ORGANISATIONAL FAULT’ IN EUROPE

Enrica Villani

1. ‘Identification’ criteria, vicarious liability and organisational failure .....	» 249
2. Trends and developments in the European legal systems .....	» 252
3. Identification theory: the new approaches of case law .....	» 253

3.1. Further perspectives in the vicarious liability systems and in the ‘mixed’ models .....	p. 257
4. Identification principle and organisational failure as ‘alternative’ criteria? The examples of Spain and Austria .....	» 258
5. Liability systems focused on ‘organisational gap’ .....	» 263
6. The causal link between the ‘gap’ and the committed offence ...	» 268
7. Organisational failure, unlawful corporate policies and culpability of the entity .....	» 271
8. The role of compliance programs .....	» 274
8.1. Compliance programs and aspects of labour law .....	» 280
9. The case of ‘fraudulent evasion’ of preventive measures by the offender .....	» 281
10. <i>De iure condendo</i> perspectives with a view to harmonisation of rules .....	» 283

## CHAPTER IV

## THE CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS

Enrica Villani

1. The role of ‘certification’ in reconstructing the corporate ‘will’ ...	» 285
2. The example of Italian law: the Bill on certification of compliance programs .....	» 286
2.1. Value and limits of certification in the Italian proposal. The case of the ‘significant breach of rules’ occurring after the certification .....	» 289
3. Further suggestions from Chilean criminal law .....	» 292
4. Certification and ‘good faith’ of the entity .....	» 294

## CHAPTER V

CONTROL OF COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS:  
THE SUPERVISORY BODY

Maria Teresa Trapasso

1. Preliminary considerations .....	» 295
2. The introduction of a control “function” in compliance programs: the U.S. and Italian approaches .....	» 296
2.1. The control of <i>compliance programs</i> in the US legal system ...	» 296
2.2. The control of organization models in the Italian legal system .....	» 300

3. The Supervisory Body: an “ad hoc body” or utilization of experts existing in the corporation? ..... p. 303
4. The liability of the Supervisory Body if corporate offences are committed: the German approach and the law provisions recently introduced in Italy in the matter of “money-laundering” and “safety at work” ..... » 306

## PART III

## SANCTIONS AND JUDICIAL COOPERATION

## CHAPTER I

LOOKING FOR A SYSTEM OF SANCTIONS  
FOR AN EU NORMATIVE

Adán Nieto Martín

1. A wide range of sanction without guide ..... » 313
2. Rational, recidivists, virtuous corporations: a system of sanctions oriented toward the type of author ..... » 318
3. The fine and other dissuasive sanctions ..... » 323
4. Re-educator penalties: guardianship or intervention ..... » 334
5. Inoculating sanctions ..... » 336
6. The reparation and community service ..... » 338
7. Penalties for criminal corporations (dissolution, closure of premises etc.) ..... » 339

## CHAPTER II

CRIMINAL LIABILITY OF LEGAL ENTITIES:  
OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES  
FOR JUDICIAL COOPERATION

Adán Nieto Martín

- I. Legal entities as an alternative and as a problem for judicial cooperation ..... » 341
- II. The desing of judicial cooperation ..... » 348
  1. The different legal nature of penalties for legal entities as an obstacle to judicial cooperation? ..... » 348
  2. Cooperation in the field of administrative sanctions: some lessons for judicial cooperation ..... » 355
  3. Application of the *status quo* of the principle of mutual recognition on legal entities ..... » 358



III. The application of criminal law in space, conflicts of jurisdiction and <i>ne bis in idem</i> .....	p. 364
1. Application of criminal law in space .....	» 364
2. <i>Ne bis in idem</i> and the conflict of jurisdictions .....	» 368

## PART IV

## CONCLUDING REPORT

FROM 'MACRO-ANTHROPOS'  
TO 'MULTI-PERSON ORGANISATION'  
*Logic and structure of compliance programs  
in the corporate criminal liability*

Antonio Fiorella

1. 'Ex crimine' corporate liability and its impact in European Union Member States .....	» 374
2. The prospects for approximation and harmonisation .....	» 381
3. General introduction to the Guidelines which the Research has outlined .....	» 383
4. Imputation criteria. Administrative liability .....	» 387
5. Criteria for imputation and principles of corporate criminal or quasi-criminal liability. The structure of the imputation criteria. The 'typical' corporate entity offence by 'elements which define the connecting factor' (inherent nature of the subject matter, interest of entity, position of offender) .....	» 392
6. The 'link of derivation' of the offence from conduct attributable to the entity. Premise. The relationship law-addressee. Criminal law as direction to conduct oneself with respect for protected values .....	» 396
7. The 'belonging' of the fact to the subject and its 'dominability' ...	» 398
8. Continued. The principle of culpability in the supranational legal system. The overcoming of the objective criteria for attribution ...	» 399
9. From the general 'attitude' and from the 'dangerousness' of the entity to the 'specific fact committed. The 'causal' and 'culpable' fact. Compliance programs, dominability of the fact, causality and culpability .....	» 403
10. In depth examination of the difference between "objective" and "subjective" in the imputation of organisational shortcomings. The adoption of suitable preventive models as definition of an objective area of permitted "risk". Non-permitted risk and causal nexus .....	» 407

11. The subjective element of the offence. The ‘culpability’ of the entity .....	p. 408
12. The offence by a subordinate and by a member of top management. Fraudulent circumvention by the latter .....	» 410
13. “Non-fraudulent” circumvention. Its meaning: in depth analysis .....	» 413
14. The ‘fair expectability’ of conduct by the entity .....	» 415
15. Subjective attitude of the entity and culpability in criminal offences. The conduct of the individual and the aggregated conduct of the entity .....	» 416
16. Conclusions. ‘Aggregated conduct’ in multi-person organisations. Ownership, management and control. The will of the perpetrator and the will of the entity possible divergence .....	» 421
17. Compliance programs, preventive rules and culpability of the entity. A draft directive .....	» 423
18. How the common denominator of the regulations develops .....	» 425
19. The present European Union model .....	» 427
20. The ‘step forward’ compared to the present formulae of European Union instruments .....	» 428
21. Continued. The <i>ex ante</i> ascertainment of the will of the entity. In particular the foreseeability of the offence and whether preventive conduct could have been demanded .....	» 429
22. The ‘added value’ of the draft: prevention and guarantees .....	» 430
23. Features of the proposed models. Introduction and referral .....	» 431
 GUIDELINES ON LIABILITY ‘EX CRIMINE’ OF LEGAL ENTITIES IN EU MEMBER STATES .....	 » 433
PROPOSAL FOR A SPECIFIC PROVISION FOR A DIRECTIVE .....	» 463